## THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

## March 26, 2008 Staff Report

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Richard C. Fischer.

**Applicant:** City of Los Angeles

Allocation Amount Requested: Tax-exempt \$3,750,000

**Project Name:** Tres Lomas Garden Apartments

**Project Address**: 4343 Toland Way

**Project City, County, Zip Code**: Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90017

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: Eagle Rock Housing Partners, L.P. (National

Community Renaissance of California)

Principals: Rebecca F. Clark, Jeffrey S. Burum and Richard

Whittingham

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Kutak Rock, LLP

**Credit Enhancement Provider**: Not Applicable **Private Placement Purchaser**: US Bank, N.A. **TEFRA Hearing**: January 23, 2008

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General

**Total Number of Units:** 45, plus 1 manager unit

**Type:** Acquisition/Rehabilitation

**Type of Units:** Senior, Federally Assisted At-Risk

## **Description of Public Benefits:**

#### Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

96% (43 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and 4% (2 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

Unit Mix: 1-bedroom

**Term of Restrictions:** 55 years

Ecti	mated T	otal Day	zelopment	Cost	\$7.244.355
LSU	mateu i	otal Dev	eiobilleili.	COSE	D / . Z44. D. J. J.

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** \$ 35,691 (\$1,606,078/45 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 158,596 (\$7,136,805/45 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 83,333 (\$3,750,000/45 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 83,333 (\$3,750,000/45 restricted units)

Sources of Funds: Tax-Exempt Bond Proceeds Developer Equity LIH Tax Credit Equity Direct & Indirect Public Funds Other Total Sources	Construction \$3,750,000 \$ 0 \$ 771,611 \$2,225,251 \$ 389,943 \$7,136,805	Permanent \$ 841,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,055,230 \$4,190,575 \$ 0 \$7,136,805
Uses of Funds: Acquisition Cost On-Site & Off-Site Costs Hard Construction Costs Architect & Engineering Fees Contractor Overhead & Profit Developer Fee Relocation Cost of Issuance	\$3,810,000 \$ 100,000 \$1,506,078 \$ 90,000 \$ 71,584 \$ 342,000 \$ 316,616 \$ 59,350	
Capitalized Interest Other Soft Costs	\$ 164,063 \$ 677,114	

## **Legal Questionnaire:**

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

\$7,136,805

**Total Points:** 88 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$3,750,000 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

Total Uses

## ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

	Maximum Points Allowed	Maximum Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project	20	20	20
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			2.5
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project			
Gross Rents	5	5	5
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	0
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
	10	1.0	_
Site Amenities	10	10	5
Service Amenities	10	10	5
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
New Construction	10	10	0
Nagativa Paints	NT A	NT A	NT/A
Negative Points	NA	NA	N/A
<b>Total Points</b>	128	108	88

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.